

『Journal of Saemaul and International Development』 Paper Review Process

1. Review Procedures

- (1) The editorial board shall examine whether the submitted paper conforms to the submission guidelines of this journal immediately upon receipt of the manuscript and determine whether the review continues. For a paper that has been deemed "unpublishable (reject)" through the editorial board's assessment of the appropriateness of publication, the editorial board notifies the author of the results within two weeks from the date of the paper's submission and requests the revision.
- (2) For a manuscript that has been deemed appropriate for publication by the editorial board, the editorial board shall select three referees (reviewers) within two weeks after the receipt of the manuscript and request a review to each referee.
- (3) The referee shall prepare an review report (attached Form 5) and submit it to the editorial board within two weeks from the date of receipt of the request for review. If the review report is not submitted within this period, the chief editor shall give the first press. If the report is not submitted within one week from then, the editorial board may dismiss the relevant referee and select another referee.
- (4) **Criteria for review:** The criteria for review of a manuscript to the Journal are as follows:

| Criteria | Rate |
|---|------|
| Creativity and Relevance of the Research Subjects | 20 |
| Suitability and Feasibility of the Research Methods | 15 |
| Validity and Consistency the Research | 20 |
| Completeness of Literature Review | 20 |
| Academic Contribution and Policy Applicability | 15 |
| Compliance with Research Ethics and Submission Guidelines | 10 |
| Total | 100 |

- (5) The editorial board shall decide whether to publish a paper or not based on the review reports collected from the referees. The publication of the paper shall be in accordance with the following 'Publication Decision Criteria'. The editorial board immediately informs the contributor of the result of the first review.
- (6) If the referee requests a revision, the contributor of the paper shall submit a revised manuscript to the editorial board within the designated deadline. For the paper judged to be "accepted after revision", the editorial board shall examine if the revision is acceptable. For the paper judged to be "re-reviewed after revision", the original reviewer shall be requested to re-review the revised paper.
- (7) The editorial board shall make a decision on whether to publish a paper for which the first and second review round have been completed in accordance with the following standards:
- (8) No personal information related to the referee and the results of the review shall be disclosed to any other person.

2. Manuscript Evaluation and Publication Decision Criteria

- (1) Evaluation and decision on acceptance of a submitted manuscript consists of the following four categories:
 - ① Accepted without revision: The paper will be published without any request for revisions.
 - ② Accepted after revision: The paper is allowed to be published after revision reflecting the opinions of the referees.
 - ③ Re-reviewed after revision: The revised manuscript should be

reviewed again by the same referees who have requested revisions.

④ Rejected: The paper is not allowed to be published.

(2) Publication **Decision Criteria**: The following criteria shall be used to determine whether to publish a paper or not.

| Reviewer1 | Reviewer2 | Reviewer3 | Decision |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Accepted without revision | Accepted without revision | Accepted without revision | Accepted without revision |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted without revision | Accepted with minor revision | |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted without revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted without revision | Rejected | Accepted after revision |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with minor revision | |
| Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with minor revision | |
| Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted with minor revision | Rejected | |
| Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted without revision | |
| Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted with minor revision | Re-reviewed after revision |
| Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | |
| Accepted without revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | Rejected | |
| Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with minor revision | Rejected | |
| Accepted with minor revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | Rejected | |
| Accepted with mandatory revision | Accepted with mandatory revision | Rejected | Rejected |
| Rejected | Rejected | Accepted without revision | |
| Rejected | Rejected | Accepted with minor revision | |
| Rejected | Rejected | Accepted with mandatory revision | |
| Rejected | Rejected | Rejected | |

(3) The editorial board may request revisions of the paper by editorial needs, even if it has been accepted without revision.

<Attached Form 5>

『Journal of Saemaul and International Development』 Review Report

| | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Journal | 『Journal of Saemaul and International Development』 vol. (no.) (0000. 00) | | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 st round | <input type="checkbox"/> round |
| Title | | | | |
| Referee | Affiliation | | Name | (signature) |
| Review Date | yyyy. mm. dd. | | | |

■ Contents of review

| Criteria | Rate | Score |
|---|------|-------|
| Creativity and Relevance of the Research Subjects | 20 | |
| Suitability and Feasibility of the Research Methods | 15 | |
| Validity of the Research and Consistency of Logic | 20 | |
| completeness of Literature Review | 20 | |
| Academic Contribution and Policy Applicability | 15 | |
| Compliance with Research Ethics and Submission Guidelines | 10 | |
| Total | 100 | |

■ Decision (Please mark ○ in the corresponding column.)

| Accepted without revision (85-100) | Accepted with minor revision (70-84) | Accepted with mandatory revision (60-69) | Rejected (below 59) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | |

■ Comments and requirements (Please give as much detail as possible. Additional paper is allowed.)

| | | | | | |
|------|--|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| Bank | | Account number | | Acconut Holder | |
|------|--|----------------|--|----------------|--|

Supplementary Provisions (2020.00.00)

Article 1 (Enforcement Date) These Regulations shall be enforced from the date they are enacted.

E-mail: gdfjournalnet@gmail.com